



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ing liquors from one illegally selling the same is an accomplice of the seller.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 75.]

2. Criminal Law (§ 510*)—Conviction May Be Rested upon Uncorroborated Testimony of Accomplice.—Conviction of one accused of an illegal sale of intoxicating liquors may rest upon uncorroborated testimony of a single accomplice, though such evidence should be acted upon by the jury with great caution.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 77.]

3. Criminal Law (§ 1173 (2)*)—Refusal to Instruct as to Accomplice's Testimony Held Not Prejudicial.—In prosecution for illegal sale of intoxicating liquors, held, that accused was not prejudiced by error of the court on refusing to instruct that testimony of an accomplice should be received with caution; the accomplice being corroborated by a police officer who saw the accused in a house just before the alleged sale, saw the accomplice enter the house, and found whisky in his possession when he came out of the house.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 604.]

Error to Corporation Court of Norfolk.

Lee Crosby was convicted of an illegal sale of intoxicating liquor, and brings error. Affirmed.

N. T. Green, of Norfolk, for plaintiff in error.

John R. Saunders, Atty. Gen., and J. D. Hank, Jr., Asst. Atty. Gen., for the Commonwealth.

PURNELL *v.* COMMONWEALTH.

Jan. 19, 1922.

[110 S. E. 271.]

Criminal Law (§ 1160*)—Approved Conviction Supported by Some Evidence Will Not Be Reversed.—In a prosecution for attempted rape, in which the defense was an alibi, where there was no complaint as to the rulings on evidence nor the law applicable, and the judge declined to set the verdict aside, the conviction will be affirmed where it cannot be said that verdict was without supporting evidence.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 620.]

Error to Circuit Court, Northampton County.

Edward Purnell was convicted of attempted rape, and he assigns error. Affirmed.

S. James Turlington and Elmer W. Somers, both of Accomac, for plaintiff in error.

John R. Saunders, Atty. Gen., and J. D. Hank, Jr., and Leon M. Bazile, Asst. Atty. Gen., for the Commonwealth.

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.